

4/14/04

70  
 04 APR 19 10:31  
 RECEIVED

United States District Court  
 for the Northern District of California

Denied  
 5/20/04

RECEIVED

Frederick M. Shaw  
 (Petitioner) APR 19 2004

Case no:

C 02-0451 PJA (PR)

1:02 cy 149

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VS.

USDC - Ohio, Et, al  
 (Respondents)

Judge Phyllis J Hamilton

Prose

Motion to re-instate case in your jurisdiction.

Whereas, petitioner sent Exhibit A to this Court for a reason, instead someone sent it back to a Court that petitioner really doesn't care for as matter(s) stated are obvious.

Whereby, per Exhibit B of what looks like a letter of mumbo jumbo coming from a Court like, is this latest technology as not into White Collar work these days but a Blue Collar Worker

Now, petitioner states what is to prove as proof sent is sufficient while dismissed %o. Liquidation means see again and in this Court as the word Conspiracy means what, etc!